## **REMARKS**

The Examiner noted various problems with the drawings, and in response, the Applicant believes that the various problems have been rectified with the replacement drawings. Care has been taken to ensure that no new matter has been entered.

The Examiner noted various objections to the Abstract, and in response, the Applicant has made the suggested amendments and removed the objectionable language. Again, no new matter has been entered.

The Examiner made claim suggestions which have been taken into account in new claim 2.

The Examiner objected to the preamble of claim 1, and claim 2 has taken such objection into account in the new preamble.

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph and second paragraph, and new Claim 2 has been written to overcome such rejections.

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Cramer, USPN 5,918,679. In response, Applicant has canceled claim 1 and introduced new claim 2 which has been carefully constructed to point out, amongst other limitations, that the exhaust is filtered for water, soot, oil, and other by products of jet engine exhaust. Cramer does not do such.

Applicant respectfully believes that the patent application stands in condition for allowance.

Applicant petitions for a three-month extension past the shortened-statutory period for response. Please charge all fees due and owning to Deposit Account No. 500356 in the name of A + Legal Services - Greenberg & Lieberman.

Michael Greenberg Reg. No. 47312

5

## CERTIFICATION OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this amendment and response was mailed to Mail Stop

Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O.

Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on December 27, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael L. Greenberg, Esq.

Registration Number 47,312